

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/445,439

Applicant(s)

Sabel et al.

Examiner

Michael Hartley

Group Art Unit

1619

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael Hartley

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) William Beaumont (Appl. Counsel)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Aug 25, 2000

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending, 1-40

Identification of prior art discussed:

All cited in the rejections set forth in the office action mailed 7/10/00

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed possibly canceling all pending claims and presenting new claims to overcome the 112, first and second paragraph and 101 rejections (noted, claims 30-37 would probably not be represented). Also, discussed possibly further defining the "stabilizer" by a sub-genus to differentiate over the prior art and/or amending the claims and providing arguments to support a physical distinction between the surfactant containing nanoparticles of the prior art and the stabilizer containing nanoparticles instantly claimed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.



MICHAEL HARTLEY  
PATENT EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1619

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.